Hon. Joseph B. Brown, Judge Shelby County Criminal Court Temphig, TN. Dear Judge Brown,

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702 7/42/97

Please excuse my typing. It cannot be any better. I'm 84 and in seriously impaired health. The more serious of my recent hospitalizations were for congestive heart failure, renal failure and exceptionally high blood pressure.

I write you because of what I have read in this morning's New York Times and Washington Post. I have relevant knowledge, as I'll explain.

The Times says you "instructed" both sides "topetition the Government for the return of bullets fired in the initial tests by the F.B.I." It also quotes you as stating, "I'm not going to allow the vicissitudes of somebody's artful cross-examination to keep me, from as the trier of facts, from getting to the bottom of this."

The Post, with Reuters its apparent source, states that you "ordered" both sides "to ask the FBI to unseal the results of the forensic examination of Ray's rifle which they conducted after king was killed."

I have two involve/ents in this matter that give me what I believe is some unique knowledge. I assume that if what I tell you you regard as pertinent you will inform both sides of it. I may appear to be partisan in this matter but I believe I am not.

First I wrote a book entirely from public domain sources. In it I used the Freedom of Information Act to obtain clopies of what the government provided to the British court to get Nay extradicted. Although that information was made public in England, I had to sue to obtain copies here in the United States.

Not being a lawyer I cannot be certain but I believe that you should be aware of some of that information at least some of Which was perjurious and I believe involved the subornation of perjury. I am aware that the statite has run. I go into this because of what you are quoted as saying and wanting to learn.

The Post story also quotes you as saying that justice might be served if we were able to examine three bullets (allegedly test fired by the FBI) and the court feels that the state of Tennessee has a claim on that evidence. Unless the FBI lied to federal district court in Washington in my C.A. 75-1996, the FBI either did not do that test firing of that reifle or hid from me the evidence you refer to that under the law and in that lawsuit should he have been at least offered to me. In addition, there were nine stuffed cartons of such evidence in the office of Bubba Blackwelk in the early 1970s. He was always a first first first first first.

I was then May's investigator. Under disgovery we were given a total of but two days to go over the vast amount of information that existed. I could hardly

of evidence provided by the FBI.

2

I am certain that with any such bullet relevant in my C.A,75-1996 I was not only not shown such test bullets or offered acces, to them or what under the law I should have gotten automatically, photographs of them, it is my recollection that I was told the rifle had not been test fired for the reason given in the affidavit provided by them FBI Laboratory agent Robert Frazier that I obtained in the suit for the extradition records.

Here I must explain why I provide this paragraph of that affiavit in the form in which do. It is unsafe for me to use stairs and the some 80,000 pages of previously withheld PBI pages relating to the King assassination are in our basement. Along with about a quarter of a million pages of records relating to the assassination of President Kennedy also obtained by FOIA litigation as well as much off my work product.

As a matter of both practice and belief I make and have always made all the information I received under FCIA freely available to all, the all-mostly of those with whom I do not agree, along with the use of our copier, all unsupervised. The attached page is from my book. In writing it I found this one paragraph of the Frazier affidavit all that was relevant. It is my recollection that he also stated, if not there in the later litigation, that after examining the remnant of bullet removed from Dr. Ming's body he did no test firing for comparison because he dee cided that the specimen was not suitable. (Not that he refers to the fragment as a bullet, which it was not.)

lawsuit is that, and on this I am certain, the FBI did not even swab test that rifle to determine whether it had been fired since it was last cleaned. You will find this in the testimony of Quinlan J. Shea, Jr., who was then the FOIA appeals officer of the epartment of Justice-their witness in my litigation. I had appealed the seeming withholding of some of the kind of evidence you are quoted as believing is necessary if justide its to be served. That included swab testing. The FBI provided none and under eath Sheat testified that it did not exist, was not done,

Many rifles were test fired then, in that case, those known to have nothing to do with the case. This included even the smaller caliber rifle Ray had bought and returned even though it could not be fired because of the encrustation of cosmoline! The FBI removed that cosmoline and test fired that irrelevant rifle. If my recollection is at correct it test fired a dozen or more, with meager resylts given me in that FOIA lawayit.

This and all the an other information I obtained in those FOIA lawsuits

3

Because I am not a lawyer I want not to do what might be considered in any way improper. I therefore do not go into what I know, what I have proof of in some instances, with which, the words attributed to you, "justice might be served."

Travel is not safe for me so I cannot offer to go to Memphis but if the court wants me questioned, I waive the hundred mile limitation that I believe exists in some civil cases and am willing to be questioned here, by anyone you may want to send, if you should.

I am of, my health is precarious, and I fear that relevant evidence may die with me.

Without the access to our basement I do not have I cannot be certain but I know That the FBI records I obtained include those of headquarters, the Memphis field office and those of the Atlanta, Birmingham, and St. Louis offices plus others.

All FBI Lab records were not duplicated in the "main" "ing assassination file known as "MURKIN."

There may be people in Memphia and the rea who later may have an interest in these records. They will all be part of a public archive at local Hood College. The librarian is Charles Kuhm. The professor of history who has most familiarity with it is Dr. Gerald McKnight. Mome of his students have used some of these records for honors papers and McKnight has a book on The Last Crusade, the Poor Peoples Campaign, due about the endof the year.

jardeilversty

Harold Weisberg

There is a rule of each House of the Cangress unless changed from the 1930s when I was a Senate investigator and editor to protect privacy all not made public in proceedings are held confidential. But either House can waivethis rule as it applies to that house by a simple resolution. So, that material can be made available promptly.

6. Because of distortion due to mutilation and insufficient marks of value, I could draw no conclusion as to whether or not the submitted bullet was fired from the submitted rifle.

Robert 9. Frazier

This excerpt from FBI firearms expert Robert A. Frazier's affidavit, used in the Ray extradition, then confiscated and suppressed, says what is false, that a bullet was recovered, and admits there is no proof it came from the "Ray" rifle (see pp. 225ff).

9. Right after the shot, I heard through a broken pane in my kitchen window a lot of voices yelling and hollering across the street from my building near the Lorraine Motel. I looked out my window toward the noise and I saw a lot of people milling around near the motel. Then I went to my door and opened it. I would say that about a minute, not more, passed between my hearing the shot and when I opened the door. First, I looked toward the bathroom and I saw that the door was open and it was empty. Then I went to the banister and looked the other way. When I did, I saw a man running near the end of the hallway. I have put an "O" mark on the floor plan, Exhibit I, to show about where he was when I saw him. He was carrying a bundle in his right hand. From what I could see, the bundle was at least three or four feet long and six or eight inches thick. The bundle appeared to be wrapped in what looked like newspaper. The man turned left toward the stairs when he reached the end of the hallway. Although I did not get a long look at him before he turned left, I think it was the same man I saw earlier with Mrs. Brewer looking at Room 5-B. The man running down the hall had on a dark suit, the same as the man I saw earlier.

CHARLES QUITMAN STEPHENS

Charles Quitman ("Bourbon Charley") Stephens' affidavit, used to get Ray extradited, fails to make positive identification, says other than represented in the minitrial, is actually proof he saw and could have seen nothing of the alleged fleeing man (see pp. 24ff and pp. 154ff).

Harlin Littley Litter CE-RTHEP Littley	CERTIFICATE OF TURESTE DEPARTMENT OF TURE PROTECTION OF VITAL STATE THE STATE OF TURE THE STATE OF THE	The Shelby The Sh	Account, purent, nowariet, tail to minus most, out, out, out, out, out, out, out, ou
--	--	--	--

For court purposes, what was used could not qualify for court purposes. (See p. 442.)

DEGISTRATION CARD STORE TO GULFU: — The property is retented and subonforment restroint right in return reteath to unyone, and will be to enclude the occu- denties thinky to question for less of energy, beautiful as well- distinct mining to question for less of energy, beautiful as well- distinct mining to question for less of energy, beautiful as well-	15 YO	3:06:27
DERITAL PAYABLE IN ABVANCE	OUR RECEIPT	7
TREST 2608 /- Lightle-Il and		Rew Rebel
SPRESENTING SPAN A COLUMN TO LIGHT TO SERVICE TO THE THE SPAN TO THE SERVICE TO THE SPAN TO THE SPAN TO THE SERVICE TO THE SERVICE TO THE SPAN TO THE SERVICE TO THE S	אואאא אסט	MOTOR HOTE

Suppressed New Rebel Motel registration card, with handwriting other than Ray's. Pivotal evidence, license number, not in his handwriting. (See pp.

44-33861